1

S. RES. 68

Relating to impact on local governments.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

JANUARY 20 (legislative day, JANUARY 10), 1995

Mr. Pressler (for Mr. Bradley) (for himself, Mr. Chafee, Mr. Dorgan, Mr. Simpson, Mr. Robb, Mr. Dole, Mr. Nickles, Mr. Lautenberg, Mr. Kempthorne, and Mr. Wellstone) submitted the following resolution; which was considered and agreed to

RESOLUTION

Relating to impact on local governments.

- Whereas the Congress should be concerned about shifting costs from Federal to State and local authorities and should be equally concerned about the growing tendency of States to shift costs to local governments;
- Whereas the cost shifting from States to local governments has, in many instances, forced local governments to raise property taxes or curtail sometimes essential services; and
- Whereas the increases in local property taxes and cuts in essential services threaten the ability of many citizens to attain and maintain the American dream of owning a home in a safe, secure community: Now, therefore, be it
 - Resolved. That it is the sense of the Senate that—

- (1) The Federal Government should not shift certain costs to the State, and States should end the practice of shifting costs to local governments, which forces many local governments to increase property taxes;
- (2) States should end the imposition, in the absence of full consideration by their legislatures, of State issued mandates on local governments without adequate State funding, in a manner that may displace other essential government priorities; and
- (3) one primary objective of this Act and other efforts to change the relationship among Federal, State, and local governments should be to reduce taxes and spending at all levels and to end the practice of shifting costs from one level of government to another with little or no benefit to taxpayers.

 \bigcirc